



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450-Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,446	03/08/2002	Satoru Tanaka	220449US2	8748
22850	7590 05/28/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CHAUHAN, ULKA J	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2676	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	10/092,446	TANAKA, SATORU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ulka J. Chauhan	2676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress			
	VIO OFT TO EVOIDE AA	AONTU(O) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this corn.BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 I	March 2004.					
_	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows						
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 		1 received in this National S	tage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	,	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _		·			

Application/Control Number: 10/092,446 Page 2

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the coupling between the peripheral device interconnection port, the graphics port, and the first memory necessary for the direct transfer of image data from the first memory to the print engine through the graphics port, interface unit and the peripheral device interconnection port.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/092,446

Art Unit: 2676

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 6. Claims 1, 2, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art [0004-0008] (APA) and U.S. Patent No. 6,618,157 to Coyle et al.
- 7. As per claims 1 and 2, APA discloses an image processing apparatus comprising a memory for storing drawing data connected to a print engine via an ASIC that is connected to AGP ("a graphics port") [0004], and a print engine coupled to the ASIC via a PCI bus ("a print engine connected to the peripheral device interconnection port") [Fig. 1]. APA further discloses that the CPU supplies drawing data to be stored in the local memory ("a second memory") and the memory for drawing ("a first memory") [0004 and Fig. 1]. And APA discloses that the print engine 1610 becomes the master of the PCI bus to read image data stored in the memory for drawing [0008]. APA does not expressly teach that the memory for drawing is provided on a side of the graphics port or that transfers the image data from the first memory to the second memory through the graphics port so as to transfer the image data from the second memory to the print engine through the peripheral device interconnect port. Coyle teaches a computer 2 comprising a motherboard 6 carrying a RAM 10 and an AGP interface card 12 mounted thereon, wherein the AGP interface card 12 is coupled to a digital copier 16 [c. 4]. 7-19, ll. 53-57, and Figs. 1 and 2]. Coyle also discloses that in outputting image data to the photocopier, data flows from the motherboard RAM 10 directly through AGP edge connector 22, through to controller chip 24, through to output FIFO data buffer 30, through to hardware chip

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/092,446

Art Unit: 2676

36, through to interface 46, and then to the digital copier 46 [c. 6 ll. 25-53]. Therefore, Coyle discloses that the memory for storing image data is provided on the side of the graphics port and image data flows from the memory through the graphics port. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of APA and Coyle, to provide a system memory, RAM 10 as taught by Coyle, in the image processing apparatus taught by APA, whereby image data is transferred from the system RAM through the AGP to the print engine via the ASIC and the PCI. One would have been motivated to have made this modification in order to provide a memory with enough capacity to store a full document for printing and so that printing is performed at a higher rate by utilizing the AGP.

- 8. Claims 7 and 8 are similar in scope to claims 1 and 2, and are rejected under the same rationale.
- 9. Claims 3-6 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art [0004-0008] (APA) and U.S. Patent No. 6,618,157 to Coyle et al and U.S. Patent No. 6,370,631 to Dye.
- 10. As per claims 3-6, APA discloses that the ASIC generally has a compression function and a data transfer function [0004] ("a compressor connected between the graphics port and the second memory"). APA does not expressly teach a decompressor connected so that decompressed data is stored in the second memory or connected so that decompressed data is transferred from the first memory or the second memory. Dye teaches an integrated memory controller, IMC 140, comprising compression logic 302 and decompression logic 304, whereby the two logic function to store compressed or decompressed data to the system memory and to transfer compressed or decompressed data read out from the system memory [Figs. 7-15]. It

Application/Control Number: 10/092,446

Art Unit: 2676

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of the APA, Coyle, and Dye whereby a decompression logic as taught by Dye is incorporated in the ASIC along with the compression function typically included in the ASIC. One would have been motivated to make such a modification so that decompressed data can be stored in the local memory disclosed by the APA or transferred to the print engine, as desired and as necessitated by data size and storage capacities of the memories.

Page 5

11. Claims 9-12 are similar in scope to claims 3-6, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 3/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the claims, Applicant argues that the cited prior art does not teach the amended limitation of a first memory provided on a side of the graphics port with respect to the image data processing unit. Coyle teaches a computer 2 comprising a motherboard 6 carrying a RAM 10 and an AGP interface card 12 mounted thereon, wherein the AGP interface card 12 is coupled to a digital copier 16 [c. 4 ll. 7-19, ll. 53-57, and Figs. 1 and 2]; and wherein in outputting image data to the photocopier, data flows from the motherboard RAM 10 directly through AGP edge connector 22, through to controller chip 24, through to output FIFO data buffer 30, through to hardware chip 36, through to interface 46, and then to the digital copier 46 [c. 6 ll. 25-53]. Therefore, Coyle discloses that the memory for storing image data is provided on the side of the graphics port and image data flows from the memory through the graphics port. One would have been motivated to combine APA and Coyle in order to provide a memory with enough capacity to store a full document for printing and so that printing is performed at a higher rate by utilizing the AGP, as taught by Coyle.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/092,446

Art Unit: 2676

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 14. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent No. 6344906 U.S. Patent No. 6425041 U.S.

U.S. Patent No. 6564284

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Ulka Chauhan** whose telephone number is **(703)** 305-9651. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Matthew Bella**, can be reached at **(703)** 308-6829.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 10/092,446

Art Unit: 2676

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

16. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Ulka J. Chauhan Primary Examiner Art Unit 2676

WChaul

ujc May 26, 2004